Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

S. Selvanayagam,^a Mahesh S. Chandak,^b D. Velmurugan,^a* K. Ravikumar^c and R. Raghunathan^d

^aDepartment of Crystallography and Biophysics, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, ^bDepartment of Biophysics, Government Institute of Science, Aurangabad 431 004, India, ^cLaboratory of X-ray Crystallography, Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad 500 007, India, and ^dDepartment of Organic Chemistry, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India

Correspondence e-mail: d_velu@yahoo.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.002 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.050 wR factor = 0.134 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

3,3-Bis(1H-indol-3-yl)indolin-2-one

In the title compound, $C_{24}H_{17}N_3O$, the dihedral angles between the planes of the two indole ring systems and the oxindole group are 77.7 (1) and 71.9 (1)°. The molecular packing in the crystal structure is stabilized by an intermolecular N-H···O hydrogen bond.

Comment

Indole, being an integral part of many natural products of therapeutic importance, possesses potentially reactive sites for a variety of chemical reactions to generate molecular diversity (Farhanullah *et al.*, 2004). Indole derivatives are identified as interfering with a G protein-independent signaling pathway of the CRTH2 receptor (Mathiesen *et al.*, 2005). These derivatives also possess antiviral (Sechi *et al.*, 2004) and antimalarial (Agarwal *et al.*, 2005) activities. Oxindole derivatives possess antifungal activity (Strigacova *et al.*, 2001) and act as orally active potent growth hormone secretagogues (Tokunaga *et al.*, 2001). In view of its importance and to obtain more detailed information about the structural conformation of the molecule, the structure of the title compound, (I), was determined.



Compound (I) (Fig. 1) consists of two indole groups (A and B) and one oxindole group (C). Selected geometric parameters are presented in Table 1. The geometry of the indole rings is comparable to those reported for other indole derivatives (Karthick *et al.*, 2005; Sonar *et al.*, 2005).

The two indole ring systems are oriented with a dihedral angle of $68.4 (1)^{\circ}$ with respect to each other. The dihedral angles between the oxindole plane and the indole planes (*A* and *B*) are 77.7 (1) and 71.9 (1)°, respectively.

In the crystal structure, two inversion-related molecules are linked *via* an N1-H1···O1ⁱ hydrogen bond (Table 2); as a result, an $R_2^2(14)$ graph-set dimer is formed.

Experimental

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

A mixture of indole (2.5 mmol), isatin (1.25 mmol) and gadolinium trifluoromethanesulfonate (55 mg, 0.093 mmol) was stirred in acet-

Received 25 July 2005 Accepted 30 August 2005 Online 7 September 2005 onitrile (6 ml). After completion of the reaction, water was added to quench the reaction, and the product was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 10 \text{ ml})$ and washed with aqueous sodium bicarbonate and a sodium chloride solution; the combined organic layers were dried using anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered, and the solvent was evaporated. The crude products were purified by column chromatography and eluted with an ethyl acetate and hexane (3:1) mixture to afford the title compound. To obtain diffraction quality crystals, recrystallization was carried out using an ethyl acetate and hexane (1:1) mixture.

Crystal data

C ₂₄ H ₁₇ N ₃ O	$D_x = 1.332 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 363.41$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, C2/c	Cell parameters from 9828
a = 24.0578 (12) Å	reflections
b = 10.2342 (5) Å	$\theta = 2.5-26.6^{\circ}$
c = 18.1597 (9) Å	$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 125.861 \ (1)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$V = 3623.6 (3) \text{ Å}^3$	Block, colourless
Z = 8	0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.20 mm
Data collection	
Bruker SMART APEX area-	3677 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

detector diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: none 20359 measured reflections 4278 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0696P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$	+ 1.847P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.134$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
4278 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
253 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\rm int} &= 0.023\\ \theta_{\rm max} &= 28.0^\circ \end{aligned}$

 $h = -31 \rightarrow 31$

 $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -23 \rightarrow 23$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1-C18 C7-C17	1.217 (2) 1.516 (2)	C15-C17	1.511 (2)
C16-C15-C17-C24	93.5 (2)	C8-C7-C17-C24	164.3 (1)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N1-H1\cdots O1^i$	0.86	2.08	2.913 (2)	162
Symmetry code: (i)	$-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2},$	-z + 1.		

The H atoms were positioned geometrically and were treated as riding on their parent atoms with C–H distances of 0.93 Å, N–H distances of 0.86 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,N)$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics:



Figure 1

The molecular configuration and atom-numbering scheme for (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PARST* (Nardelli, 1995).

SS thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) for providing a Senior Research Fellowship. DV acknowledges the University Grants Commission (UGC) and Department of Bio-Technology (DBT), India, for providing computing facilities under major research projects, and also thanks the Department for financial support under the UGC-SAP and DST-FIST programmes.

References

- Agarwal, A., Srivastava, K., Puri, S. K. & Chauhan, P. M. (2005). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **15**, 3133–3136.
- Bruker (2001). SAINT (Version 6.28a) and SMART (Version 5.625). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Farhanullah, S. A., Maulik, P. R. & Ji Ram, V. (2004). Tetrahedron Lett. 45, 5099–5102.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Karthick, S., Selvanayagam, S., Velmurugan, D., Ravikumar, K., Arumugam, N. & Raghunathan, R. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, 01780–01782.
- Mathiesen, J. M., Ulven, T., Martini, L., Gerlach, L. O., Heinemann, A. & Kostenis, E. (2005). Mol. Pharmacol. 68, 393–402.
- Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.
- Sechi, M., Derudas, M., Dallocchio, R., Dessi, A., Bacchi, A., Sannia, L., Carta, F., Palomba, M., Ragab, O., Chan, C., Shoemaker, R., Sei, S., Dayam, R. & Neamati, N. (2004). J. Med. Chem. 47, 5298–5310.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sonar, V. N., Parkin, S. & Crooks, P. A. (2005). Acta Cryst. C61, 078-080.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Strigacova, J., Hudecova, D., Mikulasova, M., Varecka, L., Lasikova, A. & Vegh, D. (2001). Folia Microbiol. (Praha). 46, 187–192.
- Tokunaga, T., Hume, W. E., Umezome, T., Okazaki, K., Ueki, Y., Kumagai, K., Hourai, S., Nagamine, J., Seki, H., Taiji, M., Noguchi, H. & Nagata, R. (2001). J. Med. Chem. 44, 4641–4649.